

## **GRIEVANCES FOR CONSIDERATION AS FILED BY STAKEHOLDER**

***Filed July 5, 2011 to Charles Lindenblatt from Robert Cherno:***

*The MCWCC Zone Representatives are suppose to be representing the issues and concerns of Stakeholders within their zones. Yet, this is not taking place. At last week's Safety Committee Meeting, the Chair asked why the Zone Rep. wasn't present at the meeting in regard to the alleged day-laborer criminal concerns of residents that live around the Water and Power building on Beverly Blvd. Numerous issues on West 3rd Street concerning alleged criminal activity at Barri's Cafe, Shah Abbas, issues with noise and parking problems at the Orlando Hotel, Wakano, Goal, and sereral business, and yet, the Zone Rep. for the area has never represented the Stakeholders in her zone on any of these issues. Over a hundred Stakeholders showed up last week at the Landuse Committee Hearing pertaining to the proposed apartment development on Olympic, yet, the Zone Rep. did not represent the Stakeholders concerns? Stakeholders complaints in the Melrose area about the Foundry Restaurant, yet once again, the Zone Rep. was no where to be found. Either the Zone Representatives are going to represent the Stakeholders they were elected to represent, or they should be removed from the Board, and replaced with someone that will.*

*Robert Cherno*

***Filed July 14, 2011 from Robert Cherno to Stanley Brent:***

*Stanley:*

*Attached is a copy of the Beverly Press Article that you were interviewed for.*

*"Although our committee initially thought it was good project architecturally and for the people at the hospital [...] who could potentially rent units there on a priority basis, when the property owners found out, they expressed concerns – some real, some imagined," said Stan Brent, chair of the planning and land use committee for the MCWCC. "We did not anticipate the amount of interest in this project, but we had thirty to forty people at the last hearing. Generally, you don't get that type of reaction where people come to the meetings."*

*I would like to see a copy of the motion that was passed by the MCWCC Landuse Committee, giving you the right to make the statement to the Beverly Press on behalf of the MCWCC Landuse Committee that the COMMITTEE "thought it was a good project"? You clearly did not have authority to speak on behalf of MCWWCC, nor did you have the right to insult the community, again speaking on behalf of MCWCC claiming that some of the community's concerns are "imagined"! Consider this a formal Grievance that is to be turned over to the Grievance Committee.*

*Robert Cherno  
(323) 857-5848*

***DATED July 14, 2011 to Charles Lindenblatt:***

Without the authority or permission of the MCWCC Board, the following statements were made by Stanley Brent and Jeff Jacobberger, in their position on the Board, to a reporter of the Beverly Press, which appeared in a July 14, 2011 article:

“Although our committee initially thought it was good project architecturally and for the people at the hospital [...] who could potentially rent units there on a priority basis, when the property owners found out, they expressed concerns – some real, SOME IMAGINED,” said Stan Brent, chair of the planning and land use committee for the MCWCC. “We did not anticipate the amount of interest in this project, but we had thirty to forty people at the last hearing. Generally, you don’t get that type of reaction where people come to the meetings.”

"However, Jeff Jacobberger, vice chair of the MCWCC, worries that when an individual challenges a project under CEQA, when a settlement is reached, they cut out elected community and city council officials, who may have previously submitted input to improve a project for their stakeholders. 'They reach a settlement about scope of project and the rest of the public is excluded from that discussion ... so it becomes planning by litigation rather than planning by participatory process, and I think that is problematic,' Jacobberger said. 'There are people who are using CEQA as a tool to stop projects they don't like and get their own way, instead of having our elected and appointed officials decide.'

Jacobberger also said that often when there is public outcry of the specifics of a project it is because people are failing to look at the bigger picture or choose to look at it project-by-project, rather than their impacts on the community as a whole".

The unauthorized statements of both Board Members have caused irreversable damage to the relationship between MCWCC and the community stakeholders, and should be removed from their chair positions.

Robert Chernob  
(323) 857-5848